P7.65-98

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. (1897-98)

191 .

(L. R.)

File No. 93-97

Serial Nos. 1-15

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-15

In ~~Part~~ B for October 1900

SUBJECT.

Claim of Naramaftee, Medameer village, Gubbi Taluk, for the Shanbhogi office of the said village.

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 - 28-1-19

A.S. 93-97-1

Subd

1/ The appeal is on 10R stamp Colly

2/ The dept/Honrs order complained of is dated 4th April 98 (2 times)

mgj
11.6.98

(3) Second appeal as a regular suit. Both the lower Courts have held that the appellant is a gumasta & not a landholder & that the plaintiff therefore should be put in possession of the office

4) Council case

5 The record may be called for & the case posted for hearing

Subd 1st Cr
16/6/98

Subd 1st Cr
22/9/98

96th

Subd 1st Cr
3/9/98

Subd 1st Cr
5/9/98

1898

No. 2912 A.S. No. 85/2912

Name of Petitioner Narasappa-Plaintiff-Respondent

Village Hadlaur

Taluk Gubbi

District Tumkur

Date of Arzi 8-6-98

Date of Receipt 8-6-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 4

ABSTRACT

Appellant	Respondent
Defendant-appellant in Suit No. 5 of 1895-96	Plaintiff-Respondent dlts.

Appeal against the Judgment & Decree of the Dy. Commr. Tumkur dated 4th April 98 in O.S. No. 5 of 95-96 & the Judgment & Decree of the Addl. Dy. Commr. Tumkur dated 15th Jan 98 in O.S. No. 5 of 1895-96 on the file of the Addl. Dy. Commr. Tumkur

-peal in Ambore Shanbhog of Tarodam-
-halli firka the defendant (appellant)
should hand over possession of the Sham-
bhogi to the plaintiff and that
the defendant should bear the plaintiff's
cost in the suit.

The grounds urged by the appellant (def.)
are as follows:-

(1) The finding of the lower court as to
the correctness of Exhibit A the genealogical
table of the plaintiff's family is not
proper and moreover there is not suf-
ficient proof to show its correctness.

(2) The finding of the lower court that the
Shanbhogi of Nedumhalli firka immediately belongs
to the family of the plaintiff and
that the defendant should hand over
possession of the Shanbhogi to the plaintiff
is not just.

3) The decision of the lower court that
the defendant is Shanbhog permanently
is incorrect. The list of the exhibits
referred to in the judgment was prepared
without the knowledge and consent
of the defendant. The judgment of the
lower courts removing him clearly

Plaintiff's Solicitor
to forward office copies of the
Decree and that the Plaintiff
be at liberty to tax his Costs
of

Enclosures

(1) Copy of Judgment of the Resident
Commissioner, Bristol in
C. of Co. No. 195-96 in the
Supplement

(2) Copy Decree

(3) Copy of Judgment of the
Resident Magistrate in C.C.
No. 15 of 1896-97

(4) Copy Decree.

HC
9/6/98.

the defendants wrongful acts
Exhibit "A" etc. etc.
(4) Even if the first marriage that the
plaintiff mentions had taken place
between the defendant and
her husband the plaintiff
has not complied with the provisions
of the Matrimonial Causes Act
(5) The plaintiff has committed
the matrimonial acts referred to
in paragraph 3 hereof
(6) The plaintiff submits that
the circumstances
in which it was brought

Upon hearing the Counsel for the
plaintiff and upon reading the

(1)

MYSORE COURT FEE

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REGD. No. 2912
DATE 8-6-58

1898

Pet 231 P2

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

LR 93-97-2

The accompanying Appeal Petition Registered No. 2912 dated 8-6-98 received at the Dewan's Office from Narnappa of Shanbhag Halli Madenur Pilca, in Tiptur Gubbi Taluk, appealing against the judgment of the Deputy Commr in A.S. No. 15 of 96-97, dismissing his appeal regarding his claim to the Shanbhagi of Madenahalli Pilca is forwarded, together with its four enclosures to the Deputy Commissioner of the Tumkur District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case.

2. The petition with its enclosures should be returned.

C. 1 3.998

By order,

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE, 12/9/14/ 189-8

8/9/98

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000.

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore, Legislative Department.

Dated 19th

Despatched 21st September 1898

Received

Enclosures No. 5 & 2 covering letters

General No. 245.
No.

His Official Memorandum No. 661-23/Statistics dated 14th September 1898.

Submits the returns of proceedings connected with the Examination of Candidates in the Queen's Vernacular

Ret
22.9.98

Recd 23/9.

Reply sent up

ABC 23/9/98

M. Santi 27.9.98

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—6-8-97.—13,002.

Juvenile District,

No 247 — Deputy Commissioner's Office,

F. 352 — Dated, Juvenile, 13th September 1898.

Ver. files

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Belgaum, General and Revenue
Department.

Sir,

With reference to Official Memorandum No: Fer 231 dated 13th September 1898, calling for the submission of the entire proceedings connected with the Khanapur Elections held in the Gutti Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith the records in appeal No. 2 of 1898-98 on the file of this Court and in Revenue S.T. No 108 of 1894-95 on the file of the Probationary Assistant Commissioner of this District.

2. The petition and its four enclosures received with Memo under reference are herewith returned.

I have the honorable,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

(Illegible)

Ag. Deputy Commissioner

4 1898

From The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore, Revenue Dept.

To The Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District.

LR 93-97-4

Dated 29th November 1898.

Despatched 30th

Received

General No. 3578 P

P No. 454

Enclosure No.

My letter No. 245 dated 19th September 1898, submitting the record relating to the appeal preferred regarding his right to the Shanbhog of Madenahalli Jarika in the Gubbi Taluk.

With reference to the above I am directed to request that the Deputy Commr will be so good as to forward to this office the records of the Civil Court Commr Court in Revenue Case No 13 of 1895-96, as they have not been sent up with the records of the Deputy Commr's office.

By Order

Draft Bijapur

for Secretary

F—14.

INDIAN TELEGRAPH.

Class. | Monthly Log. No.

To

Station

From

Station

Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	OFFICIAL INSTRUCTION.

To (Person)

From (Person)

Date

Postmaster.

LR 93-97-5

(5) 1898

From The Secretary to Govt
Genl & other Depts

To

Re Bg case Tumkur &c

Dated 10.8.

Despatched 10.8. 1898.

Received —

General No. 4140

P. No. 495

Enclosure No.

In continuation of this office no 3998 dated 29th November 1898 P. 454

Draft for approval
Shd be put before Council
with ref to pending case
on the telegram
My 9/12/98

10274

The decree in this case passed by the Court is dated 17th June 1898. The original decree in Appeal was dated 14th April 1898. and the appeal filed was presented on 8th June last. Thus not the decree of the Court below executed & no petition for execution of the decree was filed

I have been directed to request that he will be so good as to stay execution of the decree in A.S. No 15 of 96-97 on the file of his Court regarding the Shankheri & Nadevahalli patta, Gubbi Taluk, pending final disposal of the appeal preferred to Govt & accepted.

By order

for Secretary

9/12/98

Refer papers.

AR Ref.

21/1/99

To Crimes clerk Inchbit

26/1/99.

Replied.

Letters 27/2/99 & 98

495

1895-99 4473.

Cape Colony

Despatch

From

Governor

To

Secretary of State

Colonial Secretary

Dated 19th December 1898.

Despatched 20th January 1899.

Received

General No. 471.

No.

Enclosure No.

Cape Colony 93-97-6

These papers referred to in the letter are not known and were not received with the other papers along with the other papers.

27.1.99

The office took 3 months & find out that the records were missing = I don't see that anything further can be done 28/1/99.

His Excellency 3598 Rec'd 29 Nov 1898.

Pol 454

Stating that he has received an Order in Council dated 19th October 1898 Cape Colony Despatch No 495 of 1895-96 respecting the Clerk of Peace Offices Resolved that a Despatch in that Order be addressed to the Governor of the Cape Colony transmitting a copy of the Order in Council of 19th September 1898

Pets

Rec'd 23.1.99

26/1

25/1/99

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District,

No 441

Dated Tumkur, 19th January 1899.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your docket-
No: 3398/Fate 432 of the 29th November 1898 calling
for the records of the General Assistant
Commissioner's Court in Revenue Case
No: 13 of 1895 of regarding appellant
Narasappa's plaint to the Shanbhogy
of Medleri Hobli Tiptur in the Gubbi Taluk,
I have the honor to state that the file
of records in question was sent along
with this office letter No: 245 of 19th
September 1898. Search was made for
the record in question in this office
but it is not forthcoming.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

M Lakshmi Rao

Deputy Commissioner &
Tumkur District.

Urgent

(7)

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Guntur District.

LR 93-97-7

Dated 16th March 1897.

No. Pol
765-98

(230)

His letter ~~dated~~ No. 443/19-3-97

stating that the records relating to the appeal of Venkatia regarding his claim to the Shanbhogi of Penakachi Pirla, Gudlur Taluk, were submitted to this office with his letter No. 245/19.9.98.

With reference to the above has the honour to invite his attention to ~~his letter~~ to his letter of 19th September last, No. 245, from which it is clear that the records of the last Court in Revenue Case No. 3 of 1895-96 on the file of this court, were not submitted therewith; and

to request that the Deputy Commr will be so good as to order a further search of the said records and submit the same before the 29th instant to which date the appeal of Varnassa stand posted for hearing.

By Order

For Secretary

Draft
BOn
16/9.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 5902
MAR 23 1899
DEPUTY'S OFFICE

La 93-97-8

Deputy Commissioner
Kimberley Division
(8)

To The Commissioner of the
Central Provinces Circle

Dated 21st March 1899

Despatched 22nd March 1899

Received

General No. 565
No.

Enclosure No. 1 Verified
Collector's letter No 65-98
dated 16th instant.

Submitted the records
of the Criminal Appeal
Commissioners Court
in Rev: Case No 137
1895-96 regarding the
Appellant Narayanappa
Claim to the office
of Chandeppa —
Mudelemallappa Hiriya
Vittu Patel's Value

23.3.99

Rd 24/3.

Laga-779

Appt
Nsimangira
Cavalli

Resp
Nwadungafya
(Cavalli)

Reads:-

Petition of appeal No 2912 of 1935 presented by Nsimangira of Nsadewa village, Eastern Province, against the decree of the Provincial Commissioner Mkushi dismissing the appeal from the decision of the Native Commissioner in Native Revenue Case No 15 of 1935 decreeing to the plaintiff the Standing Title of Nsadewa's Gun Licence Number.

(2) The record of the case received with letter No 565/35 dated March 4th 1935 from the Provincial Commissioner Mkushi.

The facts of the case are as follows:-

According to the Standing Register of Native Declarations, Nsadewa's grandfather the late Nsadewa, was the lawful Standing of the Nsadewa tribe. He was succeeded in title by Nsadewa, a son of Nsadewa's brother Nsadewa. Nsadewa was dismissed in 1933 for embezzlement of Government money and his brother

Appellant
Nsimangira
Nsimangira

Respt
Nsimangira

Draft in appl
Ship to Legislative Council
My 15/4/99.
11092
15/4/99
15/4/99

Nemaspira was appointed as
a Shamboy in his stead; but
he appears to have performed
the duties even after his
dismissal. After the year
death in 1850, when
the Shamboyi of Nadenachaki
died was assigned to the
Linguinnaa, widow of Linguinna,
and (sister of the appt) for her
maintenance - receiving her life
time, the duties of the office,
performed by her father Burgundia
and subsequently by the appt
as gunnaala.

2. In 1864-5, the late Mr.
Venkatamma having prayed
for the registry of the Stri
in the name of her son Nara-
singappa, her application was
granted and the appointment was
ordered to the Ista shambe as
Gunnala, during the
minority of the Surrendrant.
In 1879-80 Linguinna died
and in 1883 the appt was
suspended from office for corpt
his conduct and the Surrendrant
Narasingappa as the Shambay
Shambhoy, was called upon

to do duty, in the next year
the Office was restored to
Plff by the 2d Commr.
In 1874, the present suit
for the recovery of the Chamberj
Office in Question. The Deft
pleaded (I) that he inherited the
Office from his maternal
Grandfather Reclusbaing;
(II) that he was the succession
appointed in 1837 as the
successor of Sangsing and
(III) that the suit was barred
by the Statute of Limitations
The case held that
the Office
could not descend in the
female line in preference
to a male heir in succession, that
the 2nd
Ground of defence was false and that
that the suit was not barred
and found the cause of
action accrued in 1884 when the
Plff
the suit was brought in 1884.
He accordingly decreed in
Plff's favour. On
appeal the Commr agreed

on all questions of fact and law with the Asst (comm^r) and dismissed the appeal. Hence this 2^d appeal to Court

(824)

Order 7471-8 / 07 65-98. 21/4/99.

The Sol. Gen. (Mr. [illegible]) for the Respondents of 1362 and subsequent years, the appellant (assessee) is absent. The assessee. The suit is not barred by limitation, as the suit was filed within 6 years from the date of the cause of action. The appellant's contention that the plaintiff had no locus standi, and that the suit was not maintainable, is to contest the claim. The appeal is admitted and proved. This appeal is therefore rejected

C.L.C.
Re-Draft

Bkm.

To
The Deputy Commr of Income-
Tax with the Records
& Memorandum of this Order

+ 23-5-2000

21-4-99

Pass slip sent 21/4/99

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore, Petition, dated 21st April 1899.

Appellant.		*Respondent.*
Narnappa. (Original defendant.)	*versus*	Narasingappa. (Original plaintiff.)

READ—

Petition of Appeal No. 2912—A. S. 85 of 1897-98 presented by Narnappa of Medenur village, Gubbi taluk, against the decree of the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur district, rejecting the appeal from the decision of the General Assistant Commissioner in Regular Revenue Case No. 13 of 1895-96 decreeing to the plaintiff the shanbhogi office of Medenahalli firka, Gubbi taluk.

(2) The records of the case received with letter No. 565, dated 22nd March 1899, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur district.

The facts of the case are as follows:—

According to the shanbhogi register of 1863, Nilappaiya, paternal grand-father of plaintiff, was the huckdar shanbhog of the Medenahalli firka. He was succeeded in office by Durgaiya, a son of Nilappaiya's brother Narasaiya. Durgaiya was dismissed in 1837 for embezzlement of Government money and his brother Narnappa was appointed as shanbhog in his stead, but he continued to perform the duties even after his dismissal. He died in 1850, when the shanbhogi in dispute was assigned to his widow Lingamma (sister of the appellant) for her maintenance. The duties during her life time were performed by her father Nanjundaiya and subsequently by the appellant as gumasta.

2. In 1864-65, the plaintiff's mother Venkatamma having prayed for the registry of the office in the name of her minor son Narasingappa, her application was granted, and the defendant was ordered to continue as gumasta during plaintiff's minority. In 1879-80, Lingamma died, and in 1883 the defendant was suspended from office for some misconduct and plaintiff, as the huckdar shanbhog, was called upon to do duty. In the next year, the defendant was restored to office by the Deputy Commissioner. In 1894, plaintiff instituted the present suit for the recovery of the shanbhogi office in question. The defendant pleaded—

(I) That he inherited the office from his maternal grand-father Nilappaiya;

(II) That he was the Narnappa appointed in 1837 as the successor of Durgaiya; and

(III) That the suit was barred.

The Assistant Commissioner who tried the case held that the office could not descend in the female line in preference to direct male heirs in existence; that the 2nd ground of defence was false and that the suit was not barred as defendant was only a gumasta, and plaintiff's cause of action accrued in 1884 when his huck was denied by defendant and the suit was brought in 1894. He accordingly decreed in plaintiff's favor. On appeal the Deputy Commissioner agreed on all questions of fact and law with the Assistant Commissioner and dismissed the appeal. Hence this 2nd appeal to Government.

No. 7471-8—PET. F. 65-98, DATED BANGALORE, 21ST APRIL 1899.

ORDER THEREON.—Government observe that in the Registers of 1864 and subsequent years, the present appellant (defendant) is shown merely as gumasta. The suit is not barred by limitation for reasons given in the Judgments of the Courts below. The defendant, as gumasta or agent of plaintiff, had no *locus standi*, and as such he could not be permitted to contest the plaintiff's huck which is clearly admitted and proved. This appeal is therefore rejected.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, with records.
The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts.

Exd.—H.A.R.

(10)

1898-99

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

PETITION

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 21st April 1899.

No. 7471-8 Pet. F.65-98

Passing orders on the appeal of Narnappa of Medenur village, Gubbi taluk, and rejecting his claim to the shanbhogi office of the said village.

SBP—4-5-99—200

LR 93-97-10

Sir,

I have the honour to inform you that I have received a letter from the Secretary, Bar Association, Kanpur, requesting me to preside over the meeting of the Bar Association to be held on 18th April, 1977 at 4 p.m. in connection with the election of the President and Secretary of the Bar Association. I shall be grateful if you kindly grant me leave for the afternoon of 18th April, 1977 to enable me to attend the meeting.

Yours faithfully,

Dated: 17.4.77

Draft.

To

The Registrar,
High Court,
Allahabad.

Dated: 17.4.77.

(11)

Rep

12.7.99

2.1-99 62 of 95.

Sub

12/7

Rep

13/7

Sub

C/ two Rs stamps affixed - Stamps defft

2/ d an application for review of the Govt order No 7471-8 dt 2.12.1899 Rev 7 65-98 dt 2.12.1899 communicated to petr by endt of the same date.

may

14.7.99

2.6 pm

21.7.99

Sd/ for 2nd Councillor

21/7/99

What about the panchayam have more than one scale in this charge a report from the Dy Coll may be called for - and the petn's case be considered

Sd

25.7.99

Dy Coll

Petitioner's pet dt 31.10.98

No. 62

Name of Petitioner Shanmuga Narrainappa

Village Kadamalaikal

Taluk Gudalur

District Nilgiris

Date of Arzi 3-7-99

Date of Receipt 8-7-99

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure One

ABSTRACT

Respt

appt

Narrainappa. ~~vs~~ Narrainappa

This is an application for review of Govt order No 2912 dt 17-4-99, under the following grounds:—

1. That the register of the Shanbhag was in the name of the Petnr in the year 1887, when the Petnr was a minor, his father was looking after the work & since 47 years the petnr himself has been doing the work.

2. That in the order it is stated that in the ... [illegible]

1864 & the following years,
the petns is cut down as Zemindar,
which by perusing exhibits
it was
will be clear that the
auditor who entered so, with-
out the knowledge of the Petns,
without making due enquiries.
That the Govt order is opposed
its own rulings that there should
be one thumbhog for each seal,
whereas the Respt who is already
thumbhog for 2 seals, is given
a third one, which the petns
was enjoying for the last 47 years.
That the limitation question
does not apply to him as he
is the registered & not a Zemindar
whereas time that question applies.
Prays that he may be given
his khidari thumbhog, which
he has enjoyed for a very
long time.

m
12/44.

Encl. Govt Extt
No 2412 dt 7-6-20.

Lieut. Wm. Stanish
Adjutant 18th

ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਬੰਧਤ ਵਿਭਾਗਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਇਸ ਸੰਬੰਧੀ ਲੋੜੀਂਦੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਕਰਨ ਦੇ ਨਿਰਦੇਸ਼ ਜਾਰੀ ਕੀਤੇ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ।

ਹਸਤਾਖਰ ਕਰਨ ਵਾਲੇ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀ ਦੇ ਦਸਤਖਤ ਹੇਠਾਂ ਉਸਦਾ ਨਾਮ ਅਤੇ ਅਹੁਦਾ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਜਾਵੇ।

ਜੇਕਰ ਕੋਈ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਇਸ ਆਦੇਸ਼ ਦੀ ਉਲੰਘਣਾ ਕਰਦਾ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਉਸ ਵਿਰੁੱਧ ਕਾਨੂੰਨ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇਗੀ।

ਇਹ ਆਦੇਸ਼ ਤੁਰੰਤ ਪ੍ਰਭਾਵ ਤੋਂ ਲਾਗੂ ਹੋਵੇਗਾ ਅਤੇ ਅਗਲੇ ਹੁਕਮਾਂ ਤੱਕ ਜਾਰੀ ਰਹੇਗਾ।

ਇਸ ਸੰਬੰਧੀ ਸਾਰੇ ਸਬੰਧਤ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀਆਂ ਨੂੰ ਲੋੜੀਂਦੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਅਧਿਕਾਰਤ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ।

ਮਿਤੀ ਅਤੇ ਸਥਾਨ ਦਰਜ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਵੇ।

189 (12)

From

The Secretary to the Govt of Bengal
Rev: & Genl: Depts:

To

The Bd Comrs
Inland Trade &c:

Dated 29th

Despatched 29th

Received

General No. Pet
Of No. 65—98

(83)

Enclosure No.

Lr 7-3-97-12

I forwarding herewith petn no 62 of 3.7.98 from Shanthoy Narainpha Madenkatti, Salt Jamadi, bringing to notice that Narasinga Rao who is already in charge of two scales has, by the order of Govt no 7471-8 Pet-750-98 of 20-6-98, been placed in charge of another scale, has the honor to request that he will be so good as to report whether it is a fact that the said Narasinga Rao is in charge of more than one scale as alleged by the Petitioner.

The

The petition with it enclosed may be returned when no longer required.

By Order

dft

C_2

for Secretary

gdy

Subject Rev C 293-97-13

(13)

H. No 2020
SEP 27 1899
Dept. of Rev.
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

1899 - 1903.

From The Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore, Genl & Revenue Depts

Dated 23rd
Despatched 25th } September 1899.
Received

General No. 257
No.

Enclosure No. 3.

His docket no Petition
765-98

Dated 29th July 1899.

Reporting on the petition of Naramappa of Madenahalli Gubbi Taluk.

This report from Cattien 28.9.99 is on the review application of Narnappa (Sl. No 11 Cattien file). The 2nd Councillor wanted Bascotam, before rejecting the application for review, whether the respondent had made satisfactory arrangements for discharging the Shanbhogue duties of the 3 pukkas of which he was the karavadam.

29/9/99.

R.D. 21/10/99

282

Where is the pukka patel's Settlement Register. We have marked thus in Register showing of Division when pukka entry is Settled. By this we see the expression Pukka used for cases where Kist & Crop in any Sanction for

P.C.
27.9.99

Q 765-98 containing a/c put up.

AK
27/9/99

No 257
En 3.

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

Jaffna District.

Jaffna 22nd September 1899.

The Secretary to the Government
of Ceylon, General and Revenue
Departments.

In returning the petition
of Chinnappa of Madanakalli in the
Gabbi Talaik, received with your Circular No:
Petition of the 29th July 1899, I have the honor
F. 65 98
to state that Chinnappa is the Registered
Shanagar of the three Viriahs of (1) Pradha
-vanakalli (2) Mahakalli and (3) Madana
-kalli but he does not do the work of
all the three Viriahs himself. He has
Chinnappa is the officiating Shanagar
of Pradhanakalli Viriha, while his
Maternal uncle Sinnappa looks after
the work of the Mahakalli Viriha.
Chinnappa himself has been put
in charge of the Madanakalli Viriha
in accordance with Government "
Proceedings

Proceedings No: 4491 - 8 / Pet 65-98 of the 20th April 1899

2. Copy of Mr Cassidy's petition dated 4th September 1899 explaining the above arrangements is herewith submitted for information.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner

GS

ಮಹಾಪ್ರಭುವೇ ಆದಕಾರಣ, ಸ್ವಲ್ಪವಾದರೂ ಸ್ವಾರ್ಥವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಸಮಾಜಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇವೆಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಾರ್ಥವಿಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದರಿಂದಲೇ ಅವರು ಸಮಾಜದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧರಾಗ

"Copy"

Ramanuja Rao
Deputy Commissioner

Encl:

ಈ ಪತ್ರದ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾಹಿತಿಗಾಗಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,
(ರಾಮಾನುಜ ರಾವ್)
ಉಪ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು

ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿದೆ. ಈ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುವಂತೆ ಸದರಿ ಸ್ಥಳವನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಸ್ಥಳವನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಸ್ಥಳವನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಸ್ಥಳವನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಸ್ಥಳವನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಸ್ಥಳವನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಸ್ಥಳವನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಸ್ಥಳವನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಸ್ಥಳವನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಸ್ಥಳವನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

(17)

LR 93-97-14

Proceedings of the Govt of Karnataka

Read:-

1) Petition No 52 dated 3.7.93, presented by Narasappa of Madenahalli in the Gubbi Taluk complaining that one Narasingappa who was already in charge of the Shanbhogi fiefs has been placed in charge of another fief as per ordering Govt No 7471-8 P.R.65:98 dated 24.4.98.

2) Letter No 257 dated 23rd September 1998, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur reporting with reference to the above, that though the said Narasingappa is the Registered Shanbhog of the three fiefs of (1) Prabhavinahalli (2) Hosahalli and (3) Madenahalli in the Gubbi Taluk, he has been put

in charge of the Madenahalli police in accordance with the Govt. referred to above, while his son Narasaiya is in charge of the office for Shanbhog of Brahmanahally police and his maternal uncle Surappa looks after the work of the Hosahalli police.

Order Passed.

3493 of 27/10/1900
OF 65-98 29

The Government observe that the Dy. Commr has not explained with what status the maternal uncle (Surappa) looks after the duties of Hosahalli police. The attention of the Dy. Commr is invited to Rule 29 of the Police Rules and he is requested to take action to appoint a regular officiator for that charge.

C.I. 26.9.00

sd/- 23/10/00
Secretary.

To be printed

Prescribed instruction 31-10 in file. Sent 1/11/00

To
The Deputy Commr, Tumkur
DC

Lr 93-97-15

By order

25/10/97

for Secretary